



## Quality Assurance Assessment Report on the project management assessment (Annex QA10)

Maria Manuela Portela  
Instituto Superior Tecnico, Lisbon University

9 September 2021

This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.

University of Nis



[www.swarm.ni.ac.rs](http://www.swarm.ni.ac.rs)

Strengthening of master curricula in water resources  
management for the Western Balkans HEIs and stakeholders

Project number: 597888-EPP-1-2018-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP



## Annex QA09 questions

### OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF THE PROJECT MANAGEMENT

- STRUCTURE OF PROJECT TIME SCHEDULE
- COMMUNICATION BETWEEN PARTNERS
- TIMELINESS OF FEEDBACKS FROM THE COORDINATOR WHEN REQUESTED
- INCISIVENESS OF COORDINATION
- HOW DO YOU RATE OVERALL THE PROJECT MANAGEMENT FOR THE PERIOD OF THE LAST YEAR?

### EVALUATION OF THE LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT

- ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE PROJECT DEVELOPMENT
- SATISFIED WITH THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROJECT ACTIVITIES
- DISTRIBUTION AMONG PARTNERS OF TASKS SHARING

### Quality assessment between Sept/2020 – Sept/2021 Answers to the Annex QA09

PARTNER NUMBER	ACRONYM	COUNTRY	NUMBER OF ANSWERS
P1	UNI	Serbia	15
P2	BOKU	Austria	2
P3	NMBU	Norway	1
P4	AUTH	Greece	1
P5	UACEG	Bulgaria	2
P6	UNIRIFCE	Croatia	4
P7	UL/IST	Portugal	1
P9	UNSA	Bosnia	5
P10	UNMO	Bosnia	5
P11	UPKM	Croatia	16
P12	AASKMN	Kosovo	7
P13	UoM	Montenegro	1
<b>TOTAL</b>			<b>60</b>

### OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF THE PROJECT MANAGEMENT Results per partner and category and average results

Partner identification	P1 (UNI, Serbia)	P2 (BOKU, Austria)	P3 (NMBU, Norway)	P4 (AUTH, Greece)	P5 (UACEG, Bulgaria)	P6 (UNIRIFCE, Croatia)	P7 (UL/IST, Portugal)	P9 (UNSA, Bosnia)	P10 (UNMO, Bosnia)	P11 (UPKM, Croatia)	P12 (AASKMN, Kosovo)	P13 (UoM, Montenegro)	Total or average
<b>Number of answers</b>	15	2	1	1	2	4	1	5	5	16	7	1	<b>60</b>
<b>Overall assessment of project management - results per partner and category</b>													
Structure of project time schedule	4.93	5.00	4.00	5.00	4.50	4.25	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.94	5.00	5.00	<b>4.80</b>
Communication between partners	4.93	4.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.69	5.00	5.00	<b>4.68</b>
Timeliness of feedbacks from the coordinator when requested	4.73	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	4.80	5.00	4.69	5.00	5.00	<b>4.83</b>
Incisiveness of coordination	4.93	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.25	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.69	5.00	5.00	<b>4.74</b>
How do you rate overall the project management for the period of the last year?	5.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.94	5.00	5.00	<b>4.81</b>

## OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF THE PROJECT MANAGEMENT Summary

SCORE	Number of answers (out of 60)		Average grade
	Category 4	Category 5	
STRUCTURE OF THE PROJECT TIME SCHEDULE	7	53	4.80
COMMUNICATION BETWEEN PARTNERS	12	58	4.68
TIMELINESS OF FEEDBACKS FROM THE COORDINATOR WHEN REQUESTED	12	48	4.83
INCIVIVENESS OF COORDINATION	11	49	4.74
HOW DO YOU RATE OVERALL THE PROJECT MANAGEMENT FOR THE PERIOD OF THE LAST YEAR?	4	56	4.81
<b>AVERAGE</b>	<b>9.2</b>	<b>52.8</b>	<b>4.77</b>

Strengthening of master curricula in water resources management  
for the Western Balkans HEIs and stakeholders

[www.swarm.ni.ac.rs](http://www.swarm.ni.ac.rs)

## EVALUATION OF THE LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT Results per partner and category and average results

Partner identification	P1 (UNI, Serbia)	P2 (BOKU, Austria)	P3 (NMBU, Norway)	P4 (AUTH, Greece)	P5 (UACEG, Bulgaria)	P6 (UNIRIFCE, Croatia)	P7 (UL/IST, Portugal)	P9 (UNSA, Bosnia)	P10 (UNMO, Bosnia)	P11 (UPKM, Croatia)	P12 (AASKMN, Kosovo)	P13 (UoM, Montenegro )	Total or average
Number of answers	15	2	1	1	2	4	1	5	5	16	7	1	60
<b>Evaluation of level of involvement - results per partner and category</b>													
Actively involved in the project development	4.87	4.50	4.00	5.00	4.50	3.75	4.00	5.00	4.40	4.88	5.00	5.00	4.57
Satisfied with the implementation of the project activities	4.93	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.69	5.00	5.00	4.76
Distribution among partners of tasks sharing	4.80	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.73


Strengthening of master curricula in water resources management  
for the Western Balkans HEIs and stakeholders

[www.swarm.ni.ac.rs](http://www.swarm.ni.ac.rs)


## EVALUATION OF THE LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT Summary

SCORE	Number of answers (out of 60)			Average grade
	Category 3	Category 4	Category 5	
ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE PROJECT DEVELOPMENT	3	10	47	4.57
SATISFIED WITH THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROJECT ACTIVITIES		10	50	4.76
DISTRIBUTION AMONG PARTNERS OF TASK SHARING	1	7	52	4.73
<b>AVERAGE</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>49.7</b>	<b>4.69</b>

## OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF THE PROJECT MANAGEMENT FROM 2019 TO 2021



### EVALUATION OF THE LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT FROM 2019 TO 2021



## Quality Assurance Assessment Report on the project management assessment (Annex QA10)

Maria Manuela Portela  
Instituto Superior Tecnico, Lisbon University

9 September 2021

This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.